

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 289/2017
(M. A. Nos. 766/2017 and 866/2017)**

**Society for Protection of Culture, Heritage, Environment, Traditions and
Promotion of National Awareness (CHETNA)**

V/s.

National Capital Territory of Delhi & Ors.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

Present:

Applicant/Appellant(s)	.Mr. Arun Monga with MS. Divya Sharma Adv.
Respondent No. 1	:Mr. Krishan Kumar Singh, and Mr. Anurag Kumar, Adv. For MoEF
Respondent No. 2, 4 & 6	: Mr. B. V. Niren, Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Adv., CGSC
Respondent No. 5	Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Ms. Guneet Khehar, Adv.
	Mr. Rajiv Bansal Sr. Adv. and Mr. Kush Sharma Adv., Mr. Lalit Mohan, Adv. and Mr. Anirudh Adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 14 September 22, 2017	<p>The matter is taken up for consideration of the request of the Respondent No. 2 who had sought modification of the interim order.</p> <p>Mr. Rajiv Bansal, Sr. Adv submits that Mr. Atul Garg, Chief Engineer who is incharge of the present project work is present in the Tribunal and wishes to address the Court. We have heard him with regard to two options which were given yesterday regarding use of EPDM as base material for the water bodies in the property in question as against use of clay or stone pitch.</p> <p>On behalf of the Applicant, it is submitted that use of EPDM as a material will not be environmental friendly and it will cause stagnation of water, against which the opinion of the officer is that they do not want water to percolate in the ground water table.</p> <p>Serious controversy is raised which requires hearing. We permit parties to file submission based on their opinion the material to be used for consideration.</p>

		<p>List it for further hearing on 5th October, 2017.</p> <p>.....JM (Dr. Jawad Rahim)</p> <p>.....EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)</p>
--	--	---

